## BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

## ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM DISMISSAL REPORT

MUR: 7459 Respondents: Citizens for Turner and

Michael Berning, as Treasurer

Complaint Receipt Date: August 3, 2018 ("the Committee")

Response Dates: September 26 & 27, 2018 Michael Turner

**EPS Rating:** 

Alleged Statutory 52 U.S.C. § 30120(d)(1)(B)(ii)
Regulatory Violations: 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c)(3)(iii)

Complainant alleges that two of Respondents' television ads failed to include written disclaimers stating that Turner had approved the ads. Respondents admit that the two ads did not originally contain the written disclaimer, assert that the written disclaimer was inadvertently omitted by their vendor, and explain that the versions of the ads available on the Committee's website have been corrected to include the written authorization disclaimer.

Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating and the unlikeliness the general public would have been confused as to whether the television ads were

Turner was a 2018 candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives in Ohio's Tenth District. Citizens for Turner is his principal campaign committee.

EPS Dismissal Report—MUR 7459 (Citizens for Turner, et al.) Page 2 of 2

authorized by Turner,<sup>2</sup> we recommend that the Commission dismiss the Complaint consistent with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper ordering of its priorities and use of agency resources. *Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985). We also recommend that the Commission close the file as to all Respondents and send the appropriate letters.

Lisa J. Stevenson
. Acting General Counsel

Kathleen M. Guith Associate General Counsel

11.20.18

Date

BY:

Stephen Gur

Deputy Associate General Counsel

Jeff S. Jordan

**Assistant General Counsel** 

Kristina M. Portner

Attorney

Although the television ads omitted the required written authorization statement, Respondents assert that the original ads included video of Turner orally stating that he approved them and a written disclaimer stating that the Committee paid for them.